



Migration into Europe: What Must be Done?

Professor Janne Haaland Matlary, Dept of Political Science, University of Oslo
and the Norwegian Military Command and Staff College

«The Future of the Asylum and Refugee System», 3.6.2016



Migration a megatrend

- UNHCR estimates that 60 million people are on the move
- Globalisation allows comparison – The West will **always be more attractive** economically and politically than the rest
- Migrants can only be returned if home states accept them, an unacceptable fact and means of extortion
- Many elope in Europe; 49% of returnees in Germany never return
- **Every citizen has a duty to build own country** – baseline of democracy and state system



Migration (Europol/Interpol data):

- More than 90% of migrants use human traffickers, paying between 3 and 6000 euros each
- False documents extremely common
- Migrant trafficking main source of income for crime gangs and terrorist groups. Polycriminal networks
- More than 800 000 waiting to be sent from Libya
- Terrorists among migrants, human trafficking
- Business turnover 5-6 billion USD in 2015
- (unique data base in Europol/Interpol report «Migrant Smuggling Networks», May, 2016)



Immigration and refugee policy are national prerogatives

- Every state is sovereign in determining who enters its territory and who is to be granted citizenship
- In the 70s, Europe/Norway needed workers and had labour immigration from e.g Pakistan, later to be halted («immigrasjonsstopp»)
- Canada, US, and Australia keep a certain level of immigration each year, determine age, education, etc.
- States determine whether to accept refugees (quotas, UNHCR) and how many to take



No need for labour migrants today

- Europe suffers from economic no-growth and massive youth unemployment
- Only 492 out of 160 000 migrants/refugees into Sweden in 2015 got a job (Migrationsverket)
- In Norway, only 50% of refugees have jobs after 10 years here (SSB), it takes 6 yrs. before one works
- The cost of migration/refugees a major one, and Europe cannot afford large influx every year
- Moreover, this money is spent much more cost-effectively in region



Terrorism requires border control

- Foreign fighters travel very freely due to open borders
- Each state determines states of emergency (France)
- Terrorists that are trained in Syria, Afghanistan, etc. are professionals
- Every state's first duty is to protect its own citizens and its territory, trumps other issues
- US travel warning on Europe, more attacks likely
- More than 200 attacks averted in Europe in recent times



Borders

- Every state upholds its sovereignty by policing its own border
- In Europe, such border control abandoned under the Schengen obligation of protecting the outer border of Europe (against *inter alia* illegal migration), however, only Storskog and Ceuta/Melilla seem to have been guarded well
- Visa required for all non-EU/EES travellers; the norm in the world
- Security measures, e.g. emergency powers suspend Schengen



Supranational EU in JHA?

- JHA included in majority area in Lisbon treaty 2010
- JHA was predominantly intergovernmental, both Dublin and Schengen were intergovernmental
- The EU has had a majority procedure since 1985 (internal market) but never imposed ‘tyranny of the majority’, always seeking consensus
- Imposing refugee redistribution is drastic and results in major conflict, as today in the EU
- ECJ and Commission take active roles when ‘allowed to’ – states *Herren der Verträge*



Russia and Turkey as ‘allies’

- Outsourcing the problem to these states creates major vulnerabilities for Europe
- Both state leaders are strategic realists who can use migration as a means of pressure and destabilisation (Norwegian, Finnish cases; Erdogan’s threats)
- Europe cannot solve the problem of war and of failed states in the world, and must therefore control its own borders
- European states are the main humanitarian actors in regional refugee policy, do a lot and will do more



Regional, temporary solutions

- Migration has no 'solution' other than the duty to build one's own country – unwanted migration must be stopped
- Also Europeans migrated in hard times, but to states that needed labour (US)
- The traffickers must definitely be stopped
- **The pull factor is that Europe allows asylum application rights to everyone that reaches its soil – this is unsustainable (as UDI's Forfang has pointed out)**



(War) refugees

- The Bosnian war led to ‘**safe havens**’ which would have been safe if the required military protection had been deployed (need of 30 000 soldiers, while 8000 were sent to all 7 Bosnian cities)
- The ‘safe haven’ concept is a solution, and Europe can do much, but regional powers **have primary responsibility** for refugees and for ending wars
- Refugees have a duty to return home to rebuild their states – and most want to
- Europe cannot stabilise and develop MENA, only assist in so doing – UN **global** policy needed



Asylum right is not 'absolute'

- Europe's states' first obligation to own citizens and their security
- If the right to seek asylum is a human right, so is the right to political stability and security for own citizens
- Human rights are not absolute (only torture ban is non-derogable) and must always be weighted against each other
- The current situation in Europe implies that states prioritize security (terror problem, mass influx) and stability (only controlled refugee quotas)



Conclusions

- Terrorism and the need for stability in Europe implies that illegal migration must be stopped
- Trafficking must be stopped, endanger lives and brings polycriminal activity to Europe
- This is done by engagement in the region (MENA), but borders of Europe must also be controlled
- The 'pull factor' - asylum application right for everyone who makes it to a European border - must be changed, the asylum regime must be practised differently